

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
9

10 AUTUMN PARTNERS, LLC,)
11 individually and on behalf of)
12 itself and all others)
13 similarly situated,) No. 04-1255-HU
14) (Lead Case)
15)
16)
17)
18) ORDER
19 v.)
20)
21 LATTICE SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.,)
22 et al.,)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28

HUBEL, Magistrate Judge:

On December 7, 2004, the court held a hearing on the motion to appoint Jeffrey Thompson lead plaintiff (doc. # 18) and a scheduling conference. At the hearing, Autumn Partners, LLC made an oral objection on the record to the appointment of Mr. Thompson. The court granted Autumn Partners leave to file a brief on the issue by December 14, 2004, with a response being due December 21, 2004.

On December 9, 2004, the court received a letter from Autumn

ORDER Page 1

1 Partners stating that it was no longer interested in pursuing a
2 lead plaintiff role in the case. Accordingly, the briefing schedule
3 is vacated and, there being no other opposition, the motion to
4 appoint Jeffrey Thompson as lead plaintiff (doc. # 18) is GRANTED.

5 As previously discussed with counsel at the hearing, the
6 following schedule is set for this case:

- 7 1. Lead plaintiff shall file the consolidated
8 complaint by January 27, 2005.
- 9 2. Counsel for all parties shall meet and confer regarding
10 the following issues not later than February 11, 2005:
 - 11 a. any contemplated motion to dismiss;
 - 12 b. use of Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures;
 - 13 c. whether all parties consent to Magistrate Judge
14 jurisdiction;
 - 15 d. the case schedule.
- 16 3. Defendants shall file their answer or any motions to
17 dismiss the complaint, and address whether the
18 consolidated complaint shall be treated as the original
19 complaint for purposes of an automatic right to file a
20 further amended complaint if a motion to dismiss is
21 granted, by February 28, 2005.
- 22 4. The parties shall submit a jointly proposed case schedule
23 to the court not later than March 2, 2005.
- 24 5. A scheduling conference to set the case schedule and to
25 schedule oral argument on any motions to dismiss will be
26 held on March 4, 2005, at 9:30 a.m.

1 6. Responses to any motions to dismiss are due not later
2 than March 14, 2005.

3 7. Replies are due by March 28, 2005.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated this 10th day of December, 2004.

6

7 /s/ Dennis James Hubel

8 Dennis James Hubel
9 United States Magistrate Judge

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28